UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/650,246	08/28/2003	Frank Athari	IR-2311 (2-3643) 7190	
2352 OCTP OL FNIK	7590 08/22/2007	EXAMINER		
OSTROLENK FABER GERB & SOFFEN 1180 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS			RUTLAND WALLIS, MICHAEL	
NEW YORK, NY 100368403			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2836	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/650,246	ATHARI, FRANK		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Michael Rutland-Wallis	2836		

·	Michael Rutland-Wallis	2836	•
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 14 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a National Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	on the same day as filing a Notice of owing replies: (1) an amendment, affortion of the office of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the owner.	Appeal. To avoid aba fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dat have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of eunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	extension and the corresponding amount e shortened statutory period for reply orig er than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comfiling the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any ext a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be file AMENDMENTS 	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further c (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel (c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in be	onsideration and/or search (see NO ow);	TE below);	
appeal; and/or	ottor form for appoar by materially re	duding or onlipmying	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).			
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proposed. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 2-13. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ii be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	•		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	_
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filingent entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanati REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered been See Continuation Sheet.	out does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) 13. Other:	. (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		

Art Unit: 2836

Response to Arguments

Applicant's amendments to claim 11 have not been entered as Applicant has failed to amend or correct the objected language. The objection in the Final Action states (reproduced here for clarity)

Claim 11 recites the limitation "the primaries" in <u>lines 4 and 6</u>. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim and should be changed to "the primary windings" or "the first and second primary windings

Applicant's proposed amendment fails to address the objected to language of claim 11 found in line 6. Therefore the proposed amendments do not place the claims in better form for appeal.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant arguments are directed to the claim limitation "a power transistor switching stage". Applicant cites the circuitry of Pelly does teach a power transistor switching stage and such circuitry identified in the Final Action relevant to the above state limitation does not satisfy this limitation. In Applicant's specification page 2 line 20, Applicant states the "... a power transistor switching stage, for example a converter or..." In Pelly, AC power is received and converted to DC via a switching stage as cited in the Final Action. It remains position of the Office the cited structure is sufficient to teach the limitation a power transistor switching stage.

In view of the above the rejection is maintained.

MICHAEL SHERRY

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800